1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION 4 CASE NO. 5:11-cv-04406 EJD 5 MARY BASICH, 6 ORDER DENYING WITHOUT Plaintiff(s), PREJUDICE DEFENDANTS' MOTION 7 TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER 8 PATENAUDE & FELIX, APC., et. al., 9 [Docket Item No(s). 106] Defendant(s). 10 Presently before the court is Defendants' motion to modify the scheduling order. See Docket 11 Item No. 106. The court previously granted a request by Defendants to hear that motion on 12 13 shortened time and ordered Plaintiff to file any opposition to the motion by July 18, 2012. See Docket Item No. 113. Defendant filed a joinder in Defendants' motion, but requested alternative 14 15 relief. See Docket Item No. 121. 16 The court has reviewed the papers filed by both parties as well as the docket in this action 17 but is unable to determine the best course of action due to the recent increase in activity, particularly 18 in regard to discovery. The docket, as well as the parties' filings, have created a rather confusing set 19 of circumstances that can only be resolved through the appearance of counsel. 20 Accordingly, Defendants' motion to modify the scheduling order is DENIED WITHOUT 21 PREJUDICE. The Preliminary Pretrial Conference scheduled for August 10, 2012, at 11:00 a.m. is 22 CONVERTED to a Case Management Conference. The parties shall file a Joint Case Management 23 Statement on or before August 3, 2012, within which either party may renew the request to modify 24 the scheduling order. A personal appearance by counsel will be necessary at the conference. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: July 25, 2012 26 EDWARD J. DAVII 27 28

United States District Judge

1